



Info Pack for Health Professionals

- **Information for Health Professionals**

Environmental Stress & Electrosensitivity and other useful information.

<https://www.seen.org.au/solutions/health-professionals/>

- **Physicians for Safe Technology (Website)** <https://mdsafetech.org/>

“...Widespread use of digital media and near constant exposure to wireless devices has caused increasing concern among scientists, health care professionals, psychologists, educators and the public who are now considering this is not only a public health issue but a looming public health crisis. (11,108) It appears that we are at the same point of emerging science similar to early recognition of health impacts associated with tobacco, asbestos, coal dust and lead...”

- The Australian [Specialist Medical Review Council](#) declares that there is sound medical-scientific evidence to include non-ionizing radiation as a factor applying to chronic lymphocytic leukaemia; in the same category as benzene, dioxin and asbestos.

- **International Classification of Diseases 10th Revision – (ICD-10) W90 Exposure to other nonionizing radiation.**

https://icd.who.int/browse10/2019/en?fbclid=IwAR1FUavRPWtCMsoFPAJBM8CxY4MGV18P43T94-qRx2wr2qbARHGPK1_zQgA#/W90

- **The Scientific Literature**

www.seen.org.au/research/emf-studies

-“Electromagnetic Hypersensitivity“- A Summary by Dr Erica Mallery-Blythe
<http://www.iemfa.org/wp-content/pdf/Mallery-Blythe-v1-EEESC.pdf>

-Dr Russell Cooper, MBBCh, MSc, ABAARM, FACNEM, FACoHM, FAMAC, located in Tasmania Australia talks about Biological Markers of Electromagnetic Hypersensitivity
<https://youtu.be/fttGxGFtzE>

-Letter from Dr Andrew Tresidder MBBS MRCGP (1989) Cert Med Ed, Section 12 Approved Doctor to Medical Colleagues, GPs, Psychiatrists, Neurologists and others:
Electrosensitivity – an Environmental illness, an Authentic Diagnosis, not a Delusional Disorder
<https://emfacademy.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/12/ES-Letter-Jan-2017.pdf>

-Belpomme et al, 2015: Reliable disease biomarkers characterizing and identifying electrohypersensitivity and multiple chemical sensitivity as two etiopathogenic aspects of a unique pathological disorder.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26613326>

-BioInitiative Working Group’s Report (2012) – Summary from 29 Authors from 10 countries of Thousands of peer-reviewed Studies pm Bio-Electromagnetics and its effects on Human Body. (1,557 pages) [Start at the Table of Contents] <https://bioinitiative.org/>



-The Oceania Radiofrequency Scientific Advisory Association Inc. (ORSAA) Research papers <https://www.orsaa.org/research-papers.html>

- **Guideline of the Austrian Medical Association for the diagnosis and treatment of EMF related health problems and illnesses (EMF syndrome)**
*Note Patient Questionnaire on page 15 <https://www.magdahavas.com/wp-content/uploads/2012/06/Austrian-EMF-Guidelines-2012.pdf>
- **The WHO/International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) radio frequency electromagnetic fields as possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B)**
Wi-Fi, wireless communication devices and cell phones towers emit microwave radiofrequency electromagnetic fields. In 2011 the WHO/International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classified radio frequency electromagnetic fields as possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B), based on an increased risk for glioma, a malignant type of brain cancer, associated with wireless phone use. Extremely low-frequency magnetic fields are also classified as possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B) based on studies that have linked 300-400nT with doubling the chance of childhood leukemia.
https://www.iarc.fr/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/pr208_E.pdf
- Extremely low-frequency magnetic fields classified as possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B) IARC Summary of Data Reported and Evaluation
<https://www.home-biology.com/images/emfsafetylimits/IARC-MONOGRAPHS-VOLUME-80.pdf>
- **Serious Safety Concerns about 5G Wireless Deployment in Australia and New Zealand**
A joint publication of the journal and newsletter of the Australasian Radiation Protection Society Inc (May 2020). This paper addresses the scientific evidence of biological/health impacts of microwave range radiofrequency radiation and the lack of safety testing for 5G wireless technology.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/342085409_Serious_Safety_Concerns_about_5G_Wireless_Deployment_in_Australia_and_New_Zealand?fbclid=IwAR15SOEOJNHLC7fiCJBjtewGzQsPFkMUMFk11Ye0zdCgUjDc76IoIUUr2E
- **ARPANSA Disclaimer**
<https://www.arpansa.gov.au/about-us/disclaimer>
- Strong associations between industry funded research and pro-industry conclusions.
“We found that the studies funded exclusively by industry were indeed substantially less likely to report statistically significant effects on a range of end points that may be relevant to health. Conclusions: The interpretation of results from studies of health effects of radiofrequency radiation should take sponsorship into account.”
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1797826/>
- The several “conflict of interests” of both ICNIRP and ARPANSA members due to their relationships with telecommunications or electric companies undermine the impartiality that should govern the regulation of Public Exposure Standards for non-ionizing radiation.

Hardell, Lennart. “World Health Organization, radiofrequency radiation and health – a hard nut to crack (Review).” *International Journal of Oncology*, vol. 51, no. 2, 2017, pp. 405-13.
<https://www.spandidos-publications.com/ijco/51/2/405>